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#### Abstract

In this paper the canonical Dirac formalism for time-dependent constrained Hamiltonian systems is globalized. A time-dependent Dirac bracket which reduces to the usual one for time-independent systems is introduced.


## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to globalize the Dirac approach to constrained Hamiltonian systems [6, 18, 19, 2, 16, 4, 20] by using modern tools of differential geometry. If we start with a singular time-independent Lagrangian function $L\left(q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)$ defined on a phase space of velocities $T Q$, the Hamiltonian energy $h_{1}$ is well-defined on the submanifold $M_{1}=\operatorname{Leg}(T Q)$, provided that $L$ is almost regular. In this case, the canonical formalism of Dirac [6] proceeds as follows. $M_{1}$ is defined by the vanishing of some functions (called primary constraints) $\phi^{a}$ on $T^{*} Q$, and these primary constraints have to be preserved in time yielding new (secondary) constraints. Eventually, a final constraint submanifold is obtained. The Dirac constraint algorithm was globalized by Gotay and Nester [8-10] (see [4] for a recent review). By using the second-class constraints, one constructs the so-called Dirac bracket $\{,\}_{D}$, which is a modification of the canonical Poisson bracket $\{$,$\} on the$ phase space $T^{*} Q$. The reason is that the evolution of an observable $f$ is simply written as $\dot{f} \approx\{f, H\}_{\mathrm{D}}$, for an appropiate prolongation $H$ of $h_{1}$, and, moreover $\{,\}_{\mathrm{D}}$ transforms second-class constraints into Casimir functions. These results are crucial for quantization. This approach was globalized in a recent paper [14] by using almost product structures.

One may think that the extension of the theory to the time-dependent case is straightforward, a matter of pure technicalities. However, we realized that the geometry is more involved. The first point is that one has to use a cosymplectic geometry instead of a symplectic one, because the evolution of an observable is measured by using a modified Hamiltonian vector field (called the evolution vector field for obvious reasons). The second point is that, when we are in the presence of second-class constraints, the corresponding

[^0]Dirac bracket is more involved, and it becomes the usual one if the Lagrangian is timeindependent.

The paper is structured as follows. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to introducing the geometrical machinery: cosymplectic and adapted almost product structures and their associated Dirac brackets. In section 4 we review the constraint algorithm developed in [5] and [11]. In section 5 we apply the geometrical results to the analysis of a constrained time-dependent Hamiltonian system. For clarity, we first consider Lagrangians admitting a global dynamics (where there are no secondary constraints). We obtain the corresponding Dirac bracket which gives the evolution of an observable (with respect to an appropiate prolongation of the Hamiltonian function) and transforms second-class constraints into Casimir functions. Next, we analyse constrained systems with secondary constraints in section 6 and an example is studied in order to check the effectiveness of our method. In section 7 the time-independent case is recovered and, in section 8 , the Dirac bracket for affine Lagrangian systems is obtained. The paper is completed by a technical appendix.

## 2. Cosymplectic vector spaces and manifolds

Let $V$ be a real vector space of dimension $2 m+1, \eta$ a 1-form on $V$ and $\omega$ a 2-form on $V$. Then the triple $(V, \eta, \omega)$ is called a cosymplectic vector space if $\eta \wedge \omega^{m} \neq 0$.

If $V$ is a real vector space, $\eta$ is a 1 -form and $\omega$ is a 2-form on $V$, we define the linear map

$$
\chi_{\eta, \omega}: V \longrightarrow V^{*} \quad v \longrightarrow \chi_{\eta, \omega}(v)=i_{v} \omega+(\eta(v)) \eta
$$

where $V^{*}$ is the dual space of $V$. We have the following proposition.
Proposition 2.1 ([1]). $\chi_{\eta, \omega}$ is a linear isomorphism iff either $(V, \eta, \omega)$ is a cosymplectic vector space in the case where $V$ is odd dimensional, or $(V, \omega)$ is a symplectic vector space in the case where $V$ is even dimensional.

Thus, if $(V, \eta, \omega)$ is a cosymplectic vector space then there exists a unique $\mathcal{R} \in V$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{R})=1$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0$. In fact, $\mathcal{R}=\chi_{\eta, \omega}^{-1}(\eta)$. $\mathcal{R}$ is called the Reeb vector of the cosymplectic vector space $(V, \eta, \omega)$.

Let $W$ be a subspace of a cosymplectic vector space $(V, \eta, \omega)$. We define the orthocomplement of $W$ in $V$ with respect to $(\eta, \omega)$ as the subspace $W^{\perp}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\perp}=\left\{v \in V /\left(i_{v} \omega-\eta(v) \eta\right)_{\mid W}=0\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2. If $W$ is a subspace of a cosymplectic vector space $(V, \eta, \omega)$ then $\operatorname{dim} V=$ $\operatorname{dim} W+\operatorname{dim} W^{\perp}$ and $\left(W^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=\{v-2 \eta(v) \mathcal{R} / v \in W\}$. Moreover, if $W \cap W^{\perp}=\{0\}$, we have $V=W \oplus W^{\perp}$.

Now, suppose that $M$ is a smooth $(2 m+1)$-dimensional manifold. $M$ is said to be almost cosymplectic if a 1-form $\eta$ and a 2-form $\omega$ on $M$ exist such that for all $x \in M$ the triple $\left(T_{x} M, \eta_{x}, \omega_{x}\right)$ is a cosymplectic vector space, where $T_{x} M$ is the tangent space to $M$ at $x$. If the 1 -form $\eta$ and the 2 -form $\omega$ are closed, $M$ is called cosymplectic.

Let $(M, \eta, \omega)$ be an almost cosymplectic manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the Reeb vector field of $(M, \eta, \omega)$ and by $\chi_{\eta, \omega}: T M \longrightarrow T^{*} M$ the corresponding smooth vector bundle isomorphism.

By means of $\chi_{\eta, \omega}$ one can associate with every function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{f}$ which is defined by (see [1, 3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{f}=\chi_{\eta, \omega}^{-1}(\mathrm{~d} f-\mathcal{R}(f) \eta) \Longleftrightarrow i_{X_{f}} \eta=0 \quad i_{X_{f}} \omega=\mathrm{d} f-\mathcal{R}(f) \eta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the gradient vector field grad $f$ and the evolution vector field $E_{f}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} f=\mathcal{R}(f) \mathcal{R}+X_{f} \quad E_{f}=\mathcal{R}+X_{f} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(M, \eta, \omega)$ is a cosymplectic manifold, there exists on $C^{\infty}(M)$ a Poisson bracket defined by $\{f, g\}=\omega\left(X_{f}, X_{g}\right)$. The symplectic leaves of this Poisson structure are precisely the leaves of the integrable distribution $\operatorname{ker} \eta$ (see [1]). It should be noted that

$$
E_{f}(g)=\mathcal{R}(g)+\{g, f\}
$$

gives the evolution of $g$ with respect to the Hamiltonian function $f$. So, in order to get the evolution of an observable we need a Poisson bracket and a vector field.

## 3. Almost product structures adapted to precosymplectic structures

An almost product structure on a manifold $M$ is a tensor field $F$ of type $(1,1)$ on $M$ such that $F^{2}=$ id. The manifold $M$ will be called an almost product manifold [15]. If we set $\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}+F), \mathcal{B}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id}-F)$, then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are complementary projectors, i.e. $\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{id}, \mathcal{A}^{2}=\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}^{2}=\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A B}=\mathcal{B A}=0$. We denote by $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{B}$ the corresponding complementary distributions. Hence $T M=\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A} \oplus \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{B}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{*}$ the transpose operators, and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{B}^{*}$ will be their corresponding images.

Next, we will introduce the notion of almost product structure adapted to an almost precosymplectic structure. First, let us recall the definition of almost precosymplectic structure (see [5]).

Definition 3.1. Let $M$ be a manifold, $\eta$ a 1-form and $\omega$ a 2-form on $M$. The pair $(\eta, \omega)$ is said to be an almost precosymplectic structure on $M$ if $\eta \wedge \omega^{r} \neq 0$ and $\omega^{r+1}=0$. Moreover, if $\eta$ and $\omega$ are closed then the pair $(\eta, \omega)$ is called a precosymplectic structure.
Remark 3.2. If $(\eta, \omega)$ is an almost precosymplectic structure on $M$ then there exists at least a vector field $\mathcal{R}$ on $M$ such that $\eta(\mathcal{R})=1$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0$ (see [5]).

Definition 3.3. Let $(\eta, \omega)$ be an almost precosymplectic structure on a manifold $M$ and $\mathcal{R}$ a vector field such that $\eta(\mathcal{R})=1$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0$. An almost product structure $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ on $M$ is said to be adapted to the triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$ if

$$
\operatorname{ker} \omega \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{R})
$$

Remark 3.4. An almost product structure $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is adapted to the triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{ker} \omega=\operatorname{ker}(\mathcal{A}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}) \quad \mathcal{A}^{*} \eta=\eta
$$

Moreover, if $\bar{F}$ is the (1, 1)-tensor field on $M$ given by $\bar{F}=\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$, a direct computation proves that $\bar{F}^{2}=\mathrm{id}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Thus, the triple $(\bar{F}, \eta, \mathcal{R})$ is an almost paracontact structure on $M$ (see [17]).

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be an almost product structure on $M$ adapted to a triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$. Consider the linear mapping $\chi_{\eta, \omega}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \longrightarrow \Lambda^{1}(M)$ defined by

$$
\chi_{\eta, \omega}(X)=i_{X} \omega+(\eta(X)) \eta .
$$

Thus, $\chi_{\eta, \omega}(\mathcal{R})=\eta$, and $\chi_{\eta, \omega}$ induces an isomorphism of $C^{\infty}(M)$-modules $\chi_{\eta, \omega}: \operatorname{Im\mathcal {A}} \longrightarrow$ $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}^{*}$.

Using $\chi_{\eta, \omega}$ one can associate with every function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ an $\mathcal{A}$-Hamiltonian vector field $X_{(f, \mathcal{A})}$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(f, \mathcal{A})}=\chi_{\eta, \omega}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} f)-\mathcal{R}(f) \eta\right) \in \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(f, \mathcal{A})} \in \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A} \quad i_{X_{(f, \mathcal{A})}} \omega=\mathcal{A}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} f)-\mathcal{R}(f) \eta \quad i_{X_{(f, \mathcal{A})}} \eta=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, the $\mathcal{A}$-gradient vector field $(\operatorname{grad} f)_{\mathcal{A}}$ and the $\mathcal{A}$-evolution vector field $E_{(f, \mathcal{A})}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{grad} f)_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{R}(f) \mathcal{R}+X_{(f, \mathcal{A})} \quad E_{(f, \mathcal{A})}=\mathcal{R}+X_{(f, \mathcal{A})} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we define a bracket of functions as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, g\}_{\mathcal{A}}=\omega\left(X_{(f, \mathcal{A})}, X_{(g, \mathcal{A})}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g \in C^{\infty}(M) ;\{,\}_{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies all the properties of a Poisson bracket except the Jacobi identity. Moreover, if $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ are given by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{B}}=\mathcal{B}+\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$, it is clear that the pair $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$ is an almost product structure adapted to the almost presymplectic 2-form $\omega$ and the bracket of functions on $M$ defined by the almost product structure $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$ is just $\{,\}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus, using the results for presymplectic structures in [7, 14], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.5. Let $(\eta, \omega)$ be an almost precosymplectic structure on $M$ and $\mathcal{R}$ a vector field such that $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}} \eta=1$. Suppose that the 2-form $\omega$ is closed and that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is an almost product structure adapted to the triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$. Then the bracket $\{,\}_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by the almost product structure satisfies the Jacobi identity if and only if the almost product structure $(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, \overline{\mathcal{B}})$ is integrable, where $\overline{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}=\mathcal{B}+\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$.

In this case, if $H$ is a Hamiltonian function on $M$ we have $E_{(H, \mathcal{A})}(f)=\mathcal{R}(f)+\{f, H\}_{\mathcal{A}}$, for an observable $f$. Thus, $\dot{f}=\mathcal{R}(f)+\{f, H\}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the evolution of $f$ provided that $E_{(H, \mathcal{A})}$ gives the dynamics. The point is to construct a suitable adapted almost product structure.

## 4. The constraint algorithm

Let $Q$ be a $m$-dimensional manifold and denote by $\tau_{Q}: T Q \longrightarrow Q$ the canonical projection. If $\left(q^{A}\right), 1 \leqslant A \leqslant m$, are local coordinates on a neighbourhood $U$ of $Q$, we denote by $\left(q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right), 1 \leqslant A \leqslant m$, the induced coordinates on $T U . Q$ will be the configuration manifold for a time-dependent Lagrangian system with Lagrangian function $L: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If the Hessian matrix

$$
\left(\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \dot{q}^{A} \partial \dot{q}^{B}}\right)
$$

is regular, $L$ is called regular, and singular or degenerate otherwise. The energy function associated with $L$ is defined by $E_{L}=C L-L$, where $C$ is the Liouville vector field on $T Q$. The Poincaré-Cartan 1-form and 2-form are respectively defined by $\alpha_{L}=J^{*}(\mathrm{~d} L)-E_{L} \mathrm{~d} t$ and $\Omega_{L}=-\mathrm{d} \alpha_{L}$, where $t$ is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$. Here, $J$ is the natural extension to $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ of the canonical almost tangent structure of $T Q$. We define a 2form $\omega_{L}=-\mathrm{d}\left(J^{*}(\mathrm{~d} L)\right)$ such that $\Omega_{L}=\omega_{L}+\mathrm{d} E_{L} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t$.

If $L$ is regular, the pair $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{L}\right)$ is a cosymplectic structure whose Reeb vector field is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{L}$. Thus, the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} \omega_{L}=\mathrm{d} E_{L}-\mathcal{R}_{L}\left(E_{L}\right) \mathrm{d} t \quad i_{X} \mathrm{~d} t=1 \Longleftrightarrow i_{X} \Omega_{L}=0 \quad i_{X} \mathrm{~d} t=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have a unique solution $\xi_{L}$ which is a time-dependent second-order differential equation (NSODE, for simplicity, i.e. $J \xi_{L}=C$ and $i_{\xi_{L}} \mathrm{~d} t=1$ ); $\xi_{L}$ will be called the Euler-Lagrange vector field for $L$. In fact, the solutions of $\xi_{L}$ are just the solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations for $L$ (see [15, 3, 5]).

If $L$ is singular, we will assume that the pair $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{L}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$. In this case, equations (4.1) have no solution, in general, and even if it exists it will be neither unique nor a second-order differential equation.

Remark 4.1. We note that if $L^{\prime}: T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a time-independent Lagrangian function and define $L: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $L\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=L^{\prime}\left(q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)$, we deduce that the pair $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{L}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure if and only if $\omega_{L^{\prime}}$ is presymplectic, where $\omega_{L^{\prime}}=-\mathrm{d}\left(J^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} L^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is the Poincaré-Cartan 2-form on $T Q$ (see section 7).

The Legendre map Leg : $\mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is locally written as $\operatorname{Leg}\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=$ $\left(t, q^{A}, p_{A}\right)$, where $p_{A}=\partial L / \partial \dot{q}^{A}$ are the generalized momenta. In what follows, we will suppose that $L$ is almost regular, i.e. $M_{1}=\operatorname{Leg}(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ and Leg: $\mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow M_{1}$ is a submersion with connected fibres (this implies that the rank of the Hessian matrix is constant and it is equal to $\left.\operatorname{dim} M_{1}-(m+1)\right)$. The submanifold $M_{1}$ will be called the primary constraint submanifold. We have that $\operatorname{ker} T L e g=\operatorname{ker} \omega_{L} \cap V(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)=\operatorname{ker} \Omega_{L} \cap V(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)$, where $V(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)$ is the vertical bundle of the canonical projection $\tilde{\tau}_{Q}: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Q$. Since $L$ is almost regular, the energy $E_{L}$ is constant along the fibres of Leg and, therefore, $E_{L}$ projects onto a function $h_{1}$ on $M_{1}$, i.e. $h_{1}(\operatorname{Leg}(x))=E_{L}(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \times T Q$ (see [5, 11]).

Denote by $\omega_{Q}$ the canonical symplectic form on $T^{*} Q$. Since the pair $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{Q}\right)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, it defines a Poisson bracket $\{$,$\} on \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. Moreover, if $i: M_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is the natural embedding of $M_{1}$ into $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q, \omega_{1}=i^{*} \omega_{Q}$ and $\eta_{1}=i^{*} \mathrm{~d} t$ then, since $L e g_{1}: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow M_{1}$ is a submersion and $L e g_{1}^{*} \omega_{1}=\omega_{L}$ and $L e g_{1}^{*} \eta_{1}=\mathrm{d} t$, we deduce that $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure on $M_{1}$. If we put $\Omega_{1}=\omega_{1}+\mathrm{d} h_{1} \wedge \eta_{1}$, the Hamilton equations of the motion on $M_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} \Omega_{1}=0 \quad i_{X} \eta_{1}=1 \Longleftrightarrow i_{X} \omega_{1}=\mathrm{d} h_{1}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \eta_{1} \quad i_{X} \eta_{1}=1 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ is a vector field on $M_{1}$ such that $i_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \omega_{1}=0, i_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \eta_{1}=1$. (Note that there are many choices for $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ ). However, in general, equations (4.2) have no solution.

If $k$ is the rank of the Hessian matrix, there exist $m-k$ independent constraints $\phi^{a}$ which describe $M_{1}$. The functions $\phi^{a}$ were called by Dirac primary constraints. If $H$ is an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, all the Hamiltonian functions of the form $\tilde{H}=H+\sum_{a} \lambda_{a} \phi^{a}$, where $\lambda_{a}$ are Lagrange multipliers, are weakly equal, i.e. $\tilde{H}_{\mid M_{1}}=H_{\mid M_{1}}=h_{1}$. The Hamilton equations of the motion are written in terms of the canonical Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ as follows:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} q^{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left\{q^{A}, \tilde{H}\right\} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} p_{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left\{p_{A}, \tilde{H}\right\} \quad \phi^{a}=0
$$

Thus, there is an ambiguity in the description of the dynamics.
Let $\Omega_{\tilde{H}}$ be the 2-form on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ given by $\Omega_{\tilde{H}}=\omega_{Q}+\mathrm{d} \tilde{H} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t$. A solution of the equations of motion $i_{X} \Omega_{\tilde{H}}=0, i_{X} \mathrm{~d} t=1$ always exists. In fact, $X$ is the evolution vector field $E_{\tilde{H}}$ associated with the function $\tilde{H}$ with respect to the canonical cosymplectic structure $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{Q}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. Since the constraints must be preserved in the time, i.e. $\left(E_{\tilde{H}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ must be tangent to $M_{1}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi^{b}}{\partial t}+\left\{\phi^{b}, H\right\}+\sum_{a} \lambda_{a}\left\{\phi^{b}, \phi^{a}\right\} \approx 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\left(\partial \phi^{b} / \partial t+\left\{\phi^{b}, H\right\}+\sum_{a} \lambda_{a}\left\{\phi^{b}, \phi^{a}\right\}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}=0$. The vanishing of these expresions can lead two kinds of consequences: some of the arbitrary functions $\lambda_{a}$ may be determined or new constraints may arise. These new constraints are called secondary constraints. The primary and secondary constraints define the submanifold $M_{2}$. Now, we can proceed in a similar way with the secondary constraints, because they should also be preserved in time. This process may be continued and the initial problem is solvable if we arrive at some final constraint submanifold $M_{f}$ where consistent solutions exist. It is possible to give a classification of the constraints generated by this algorithm in order to clarify the ambiguity of the dynamics. A constraint $\phi$ of $M_{i}$ (the $i$-ary constraint submanifold) is said to be first class if $\left\{\phi, \phi^{a}\right\} \approx 0$ for each constraint $\phi^{a}$ of $M_{i}$. Otherwise, $\phi$ is said to be second class.

This constraint algorithm was globalized in [5] and [11]. In fact, equations (4.2) suggest that we should consider the following general situation.

Let $(\eta, \omega)$ be a precosymplectic structure on a manifold $S, \mathcal{R}$ a vector field such that $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0, i_{\mathcal{R}} \eta=1$, and $h$ a real differentiable function. Consider the points of $S$ where the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{X} \omega=\mathrm{d} h-\mathcal{R}(h) \eta \quad i_{X} \eta=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

have a solution and suppose that this set $S_{2}$ is a submanifold of $S$. Nevertheless, the solutions of (4.4) on $S_{2}$ are not necessarily tangent to $S_{2}$. Hence, we take the points of $S_{2}$ on which there exists a solution which is tangent to $S_{2}$. Thus, a new submanifold $S_{3}$ is obtained and the process may be continued. Note that the tangency condition is the geometrical translation of the preservation of the constraints. We have the sequence of submanifolds $\cdots \longrightarrow S_{k} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S_{2} \longrightarrow S_{1}=S$. Alternatively, these submanifolds can be described as follows:

$$
S_{i}=\left\{x \in S_{i-1} /(\mathrm{d} h+(1-\mathcal{R}(h)) \eta)_{x}(v)=0 \quad \forall v \in T_{x}^{\perp} S_{i-1}\right\}
$$

where

$$
T_{x}^{\perp} S_{i-1}=\left\{v \in T_{x} S /\left(i_{v} \omega_{x}-\eta_{x}(v) \eta_{x}\right)_{\mid T_{x} S_{i-1}}=0\right\}
$$

We call $S_{2}$ the secondary constraint submanifold, $S_{3}$ the tertiary constraint submanifold, and, in general, $S_{i}$ is the $i$-ary constraint submanifold. If the algorithm stabilizes, i.e. there exists a positive integer $k$ such that $S_{k}=S_{k+1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} S_{k}>0$, we obtain a final submanifold $S_{f}$ such that a solution $X$ on $S_{f}$ exists, i.e. $X$ is a vector field on $S_{f}$ satisfying $\left(i_{X} \omega=\mathrm{d} h-\mathcal{R}(h) \eta, \quad i_{X} \eta=1\right)_{\mid S_{f}}$.

This algorithm can be applied when we consider the particular system $\left(M_{1}, \eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, h_{1}\right.$, $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ ). Moreover, if $\mathcal{R}_{L}$ is a vector field on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ which is $L e g_{1}$-projectable on the vector field $\mathcal{R}_{1}$, the systems $\left(\mathbb{R} \times T Q, \mathrm{~d} t, \omega_{L}, E_{L}, \mathcal{R}_{L}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}, \eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, h_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)$ are equivalent and they are related by the Legendre transformation (see [5, 11]).

## 5. Lagrangian systems with a global dynamics

Assume that the precosymplectic system $\left(\mathbb{R} \times T Q, \mathrm{~d} t, \omega_{L}, E_{L}\right)$ admits a global dynamics, i.e. there exists at least a vector field $\xi$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ such that $\xi$ satisfies the equations of motion: $i_{\xi} \Omega_{L}=0, i_{\xi} \mathrm{d} t=1$. In such a case, the submanifold $M_{1}=\operatorname{Leg}(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)$ is the final constraint submanifold and there are no secondary constraints.

We denote by $\Phi^{a}, 1 \leqslant a \leqslant s$, the second-class constraints and by $\phi^{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$, the first-class constraints. The matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ whose entries are $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{a b}=\left\{\Phi^{a}, \Phi^{b}\right\}$ is non-singular on $M_{1}$ (see $[19,20]$ ) and, for simplicity, we will assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is non-singular on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. Thus, $s$ is even, say $s=2 r$. The determinant of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is equal to the determinant
of the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ with entries $\mathcal{C}^{a b}=\left\{\Phi^{a}, \Phi^{b}\right\}+\left(\partial \Phi^{a} / \partial t\right) \partial \Phi^{b} / \partial t=\left(\operatorname{grad} \Phi^{b}\right)\left(\Phi^{a}\right)$ (see appendix A for a proof of this). Therefore, the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ is also non-singular and we will denote by $\left(\mathcal{C}_{a b}\right)$ its inverse matrix.

Since $\left\{\phi^{i}, \Phi^{a}\right\} \approx 0$ and $\left\{\phi^{i}, \phi^{j}\right\} \approx 0$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant p$ and $1 \leqslant a \leqslant s$, we have that $\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$. Consequently, if $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}$ is a vector field on $M_{1}$ such that $i_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}} \omega_{1}=0$ and $\eta_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right)=1$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\left(i_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}} \omega_{1}\right)\left(\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\right)=-\left(i_{X_{\phi^{i}}} \omega_{Q}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right)=-\left(\mathrm{d} \phi^{i}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right) \\
&+\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{i}}{\partial t}\right)_{\mid M_{1}} \mathrm{~d} t_{\mid M_{1}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{i}}{\partial t}\right)_{\mid M_{1}} \eta_{1}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial \phi^{i}}{\partial t}\right)_{\mid M_{1}} \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, $\left(\operatorname{grad} \phi^{i}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}=\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ for all $i$.
Now, let $D(\bar{D})$ be the distribution on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ generated by the vector fields $\operatorname{grad} \Phi^{a}\left(\operatorname{grad} \Phi^{a}\right.$ and $\left.\operatorname{grad} \phi^{i}\right)$. Denote by $D^{\perp}(x)\left(\bar{D}^{\perp}(x)\right)$ the orthocomplement of the subspace $D(x)(\bar{D}(x))$ in the cosymplectic vector space $\left(T_{x}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right), \mathrm{d} t(x), \omega_{Q}(x)\right)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. If $x_{1}$ is a point of $M_{1}$, from equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (5.1) and proposition 2.2, we obtain that $\bar{D}^{\perp}\left(x_{1}\right)=T_{x_{1}} M_{1}$ and $T_{x_{1}}^{\perp} M_{1}=\left(\bar{D}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $\left\langle\left(X_{\Phi^{a}}-\left(\partial \Phi^{a} / \partial t\right) \partial / \partial t\right)\left(x_{1}\right), X_{\phi^{i}}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\rangle$. Moreover, if $x$ is a point of $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, since the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ is non-singular, we have that $D(x) \cap D^{\perp}(x)=\{0\}$ and, thus, from proposition 2.2, we conclude that $T_{x}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)=D(x) \oplus D^{\perp}(x)$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}: D \oplus D^{\perp} \longrightarrow D$ be the projection on $D$ along $D^{\perp}$, and put $\mathcal{P}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{Q}$. The projector $\mathcal{Q}$ is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}=\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{C}_{a b} \operatorname{grad} \Phi^{a} \otimes \mathrm{~d} \Phi^{b} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that the pair $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure on $M_{1}$ and the fact that the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ is regular, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta_{1}=T^{\perp} M_{1} \cap T M_{1}=\left(\bar{D}^{\perp}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}^{\perp} \cap T M_{1}=\left\langle\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\right\rangle \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we consider on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ the 1 -form $\eta$ and the vector field $\mathcal{R}$ defined by $\eta=\mathcal{P}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} t)$ and $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{P}(\partial / \partial t)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}\left(\Phi^{b}\right)=0$ for all $b$. Moreover, using equations (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain that $\mathcal{R}_{\mid M_{1}}\left(\phi^{i}\right)=0$ for all $i$ and, therefore the restriction $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ to $M_{1}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$.

A direct computation using the definition of $D^{\perp}$ (see equation (2.1)) and the fact that $i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \omega_{Q}=0$ proves that $\mathcal{P}^{*}\left(i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_{Q}\right)=(1-\mathrm{d} t(\mathcal{R})) \eta$. In particular, $\mathrm{d} t(\mathcal{R})=1$ or $\mathrm{d} t(\mathcal{R})=0$. Now, if $\mathrm{d} t(\mathcal{R})=0$, we get $\mathcal{P}^{*}\left(i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_{Q}\right)=\eta$. But it is not possible since $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$ and there exists a vector field $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}$ on $M_{1}$ such that $i_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}} \omega_{1}=0, i_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1}} \eta_{1}=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t(\mathcal{R})=1 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega_{Q}=\mathcal{Q}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} t) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain that $i_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \omega_{1}=0$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \eta_{1}=1$.
Let $\omega$ be the 2 -form on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ defined by $\omega=\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{*} \omega_{Q}$, where $\overline{\mathcal{P}}=\mathcal{P}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Using remark 3.4, and equations (5.4) and (5.5), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. (i) The pair $(\eta, \omega)$ is an almost precosymplectic structure on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ and $i_{\mathcal{R}} \omega=0, \eta(\mathcal{R})=1$. (ii) The almost product structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is adapted to the triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$.

Now, using proposition 5.1 , we can define the corresponding bracket of functions $\{,\}_{D}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. In order to obtain an expression for the bracket $\{,\}_{\mathrm{D}}$ we will first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(X_{F}\right)=X_{(F, \mathcal{P})} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)$. In fact, from equations (5.4) and (5.5), we have that
$i_{\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{F}} \omega=\mathcal{P}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} F-\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}-\mathcal{Q}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} t)\left(X_{F}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right)=\mathcal{P}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} F-\mathcal{R}(F) \eta) \quad i_{\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{F}} \eta=0$
which proves (5.7). Consequently, using equations (5.5) and (5.7), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{F, G\}_{D}=\omega & \left(X_{(F, \mathcal{P})}, X_{(G, \mathcal{P})}\right)=\{F, G\}-\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{C}_{a b}\left\{\Phi^{b}, G\right\}\left\{F, \Phi^{a}\right\} \\
& -\sum_{a, b, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}_{a b} \mathcal{C}_{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\left\{\Phi^{b}, F\right\}\left\{\Phi^{b^{\prime}}, G\right\} \frac{\partial \Phi^{a}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \Phi^{a^{\prime}}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $F, G \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)$. From equation (5.4), we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{\Phi^{a}}=0$, for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant s$, which implies that $\left\{F, \Phi^{a}\right\}_{\mathrm{D}}=0$ for $F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)$ (see equation (5.7)). Thus, the second-class constraints are Casimir functions for the bracket $\{,\}_{D}$. Furthermore, if $\phi$ is a constraint function then, it is clear that $\left\{\phi, \phi^{i}\right\}_{\mathrm{D}} \approx 0$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$.

The bracket $\{,\}_{D}$ is called the time-dependent Dirac bracket on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. Note that if $F, G \in C^{\infty}\left(T^{*} Q\right)$ and the constraints $\Phi^{a}$ do not depend of $t$ then $\{F, G\}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the usual Dirac bracket on $T^{*} Q$. Moreover, if $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}=\mathcal{Q}+\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$ and the almost product structure $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, \overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ is integrable, then the 2 -form $\omega$ is closed and, from proposition 3.5 , we deduce that $\{,\}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is actually a Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$.

Let $H$ be an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$. We have the following theorem.
Theorem 5.2. If $E_{H}$ is the evolution vector field associated with $H$ with respect to the canonical cosymplectic structure $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{Q}\right)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ and $E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}$ is the $\mathcal{P}$-evolution vector field associated with $H$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}=\mathcal{R}+\overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(X_{H}\right)=\left(1-\eta\left(X_{H}\right)\right) \mathcal{R}+\mathcal{P}\left(X_{H}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the restriction of $E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}$ to $M_{1}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$ and it is a solution of the equations of motion.

Proof. From equations (3.3) and (5.7), we obtain equation (5.8) directly.
Next, from (5.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)=1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $X$ is a vector field on $M_{1}, \quad\left(i_{E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}} \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{*} \omega_{Q}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}(X)=0$ and, using equations (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{E_{(H, P)}} \omega_{Q}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}(X)=\left(i_{E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}} \omega\right)_{\mid M_{1}}(X)=\left(\mathrm{d} h_{1}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \eta_{1}\right)(X) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\xi_{1}$ be a global solution of the equations of motion. Using equations (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain that
$\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}-\xi_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}\right) \in T_{x_{1}}^{\perp} M_{1}=\left(\bar{D}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\langle\left(X_{\Phi^{a}}-\frac{\partial \Phi^{a}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)\left(x_{1}\right),\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right\rangle$
for all $x_{1} \in M_{1}$. Now, since $\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{M_{1}}\left(\Phi^{b}\right)=\xi_{1}\left(\Phi^{b}\right)=0$, for all $b$, we conclude that $\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}-\xi_{1}\right)\left(x_{1}\right) \in\left\langle\left(X_{\phi^{i}}\right)\left(x_{1}\right)\right\rangle \subseteq T_{x_{1}} M_{1}$ for all $x_{1} \in M_{1}$. This shows that $\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$ which concludes the proof of our result.

If $F \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)$ then, using that $E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}(F)=\mathcal{R}(F)+\{F, H\}_{\mathrm{D}}$, we deduce that the evolution of the observable $f=F_{\mid M_{1}}$ is given by $\dot{f}=\mathcal{R}_{1}(f)+\left(\{F, H\}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$.

From equations (5.2), (5.4) and (5.8), we also have that
$E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+X_{H}-\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{C}_{a b}\left\{\Phi^{b}, H\right\} X_{\Phi^{a}}-\sum_{a, b} \mathcal{C}_{a b} \frac{\partial \Phi^{b}}{\partial t}\left(1+\sum_{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}_{a^{\prime} b^{\prime}}\left\{\Phi^{b^{\prime}}, H\right\} \frac{\partial \Phi^{a^{\prime}}}{\partial t}\right) X_{\Phi^{a}}$.
By a straightforward computation we obtain the result that $\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is precisely the vector field $\left(E_{\tilde{H}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ where $\tilde{H}$ is the Hamiltonian function defined from (4.3), i.e.

$$
\tilde{H}=H-\sum_{a, b} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{a b}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi^{b}}{\partial t}+\left\{\Phi^{b}, H\right\}\right) \Phi^{a}
$$

Now, in order to fix the gauge, we consider an almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ adapted to the triple $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)$. Thus, if $\xi_{1}$ is a solution of the equations of motion on $M_{1}$, we can select a unique solution $\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{1}$. In particular, if $H$ is an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}, \xi_{1}=\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is a solution of the equations of motion on $M_{1}$, and we fix the gauge by taking $\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\right)$ (see equation (5.8)). We also have the corresponding bracket of functions $\{,\}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}$ on $M_{1}$ which is a Poisson bracket if the almost product structure $\left(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{1}, \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{1}\right)$ is integrable, where $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{1}=\mathcal{A}_{1}-\eta_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{1}+\eta_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{1}$.

The above results are summarized in table 1 .

Table 1. First- and second-class primary constraints.

$$
\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q \quad \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q \quad M_{1}
$$

| $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{Q}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$ | $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$ | $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{\}$, | $\{,\}_{D}$ | $\{,\}_{\mathcal{A}_{1}}$ |
|  | $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ | $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$ |

Next, we will study two particular cases:

1. All the primary constraints are second class. In this case, using equation (5.3) and proposition 2.1 , we deduce that the pair $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $M_{1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ is the Reeb vector field. Moreover, there exists a unique solution $\xi_{1}$ of the equations of motion $i_{\xi_{1}} \omega_{1}=\mathrm{d} h_{1}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \eta_{1}, i_{\xi_{1}} \eta_{1}=1$. In fact, $\xi_{1}$ is the evolution vector for the function $h_{1}: M_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to the cosymplectic structure $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$. On the other hand, if the almost product structure $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, \overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ is integrable and on $M_{1}$ we consider the Poisson bracket $\{,\}_{1}$ defined by the cosymplectic structure $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$, and on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ the Dirac bracket $\{,\}_{D}$, which is also a Poisson bracket, we get that the canonical embedding $i: M_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is a Poisson morphism. This result follows using the fact that if $F$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ and $f$ is the restriction of $F$ to $M_{1}$ then $\left(X_{(F, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{f}$ with respect to the cosymplectic structure $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ (see the proof of theorem 5.2).
2. All the primary constraints are first class. In this case, $\eta=\mathrm{d} t, \omega=\omega_{Q}, \mathcal{R}=\partial / \partial t$, and, if $H$ is an arbitrary extension to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ of $h_{1}$, then $\xi_{1}=\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}=\left(E_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is tangent to $M_{1}$, and it is a solution of the equations of motion. Furthermore, the classical procedure consists in choosing functions $f^{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant p$, on $T^{*} Q$ such that the matrix $\left\{f^{i}, \phi^{j}\right\}=\left(c^{i j}\right)$ is regular. The determinant of this matrix is called the Faddev-Popov determinant. Then, if $H$ is an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tilde{H}=H+\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \phi^{i}$ and we impose the tangency of the evolution vector fields of the Hamiltonian functions $\tilde{H}$ to the submanifold defined by the constraints $\left\{f^{j}\right\}$, we get that $\lambda_{i} \approx\left(\sum_{j} c_{i j}\left\{H, f^{j}\right\}\right),\left(c_{i j}\right)$ being the inverse matrix of $\left(c^{i j}\right)$. Thus, we have fixed the gauge. But the above construction is equivalent to take an almost product structure $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ given by $\mathcal{B}=\sum_{i, j} c_{i j} X_{\phi^{i}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} f^{j}$ and $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{B}$. The almost product structure $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ restricts on $M_{1}$ and its restriction $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}_{\mid M_{1}}, \mathcal{B}_{1}=\mathcal{B}_{\mid M_{1}}\right)$ is adapted to the triple $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, \mathcal{R}_{1}\right)$. Moreover, the solution of the equations of motion on $M_{1}$ obtained using the classical procedure is just the $\mathcal{A}_{1}$-evolution vector field associated with the function $h_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

## 6. Lagrangian systems with secondary constraints

We denote by $\Phi^{a}, 1 \leqslant a \leqslant s$, the primary second-class constraints and by $\phi^{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$, the primary first-class constraints.

By applying the constraint algorithm to the precosymplectic system $\left(M_{1}, \eta_{1}, \omega_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ we obtain a sequence of submanifolds

$$
M_{f} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{k} \longrightarrow M_{k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_{2} \longrightarrow M_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q .
$$

Assume that the algorithm stabilizes, i.e. there exists a positive integer $k$ such that $M_{k+1}=M_{k}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{k}>0$. Suppose that $M_{f}$ is the final constraint submanifold. We will call each constraint which is not primary a secondary constraint. The final constraint submanifold $M_{f}$ will be determined by all the primary and secondary constraints. We denote by $\bar{\Phi}^{b}, 1 \leqslant b \leqslant \bar{s}$, the secondary second-class constraints and by $\bar{\phi}^{j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant \bar{p}$, the secondary first-class constraints. The primary second-class constraints of $M_{1}$ are also second class on $M_{f}$ but the primary first-class constraints may be first or second class on $M_{f}$. Then we can suppose that $\phi^{i^{\prime}}, 1 \leqslant i^{\prime} \leqslant p^{\prime}$, are primary first-class constraints which are also first class on $M_{f}$ and $\phi^{i^{\prime \prime}}, 1 \leqslant i^{\prime \prime} \leqslant p^{\prime \prime}$, are primary first-class constraints which are second class on $M_{f}$, where $p^{\prime}+p^{\prime \prime}=p$. As in section 5, we will assume that there exists at least a vector field $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{f}$ on $M_{f}$ such that $i_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{f}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}=0$ and $\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{f}\right)=1$ (note that in section $5 M_{f}=M_{1}$ ).

We denote by $\left\{\chi^{\alpha}\right\}$ the set of all the second-class constraints on $M_{f}$. Then, the pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is an almost product structure on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, where

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha \beta} \operatorname{grad} \chi^{\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{d} \chi^{\beta} \quad \mathcal{P}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{Q}
$$

$\left(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ being the inverse matrix of $\left(\left\{\chi^{\alpha}, \chi^{\beta}\right\}+\left(\partial \chi^{\alpha} / \partial t\right) \partial \chi^{\beta} / \partial t\right)$. The restriction $\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}\right)$ of the vector field $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{P}(\partial / \partial t)$ to $M_{1}\left(M_{f}\right)$ is tangent to $M_{1}\left(M_{f}\right)$ and the almost product structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is adapted to the triple $(\eta, \omega, \mathcal{R})$, with $\eta=\mathcal{P}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} t), \omega=\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{*}\left(\omega_{Q}\right)$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}=\mathcal{P}-\eta \otimes \mathcal{R}$. The vector field $\mathcal{R}_{f}$ satisfies the relations: $i_{\mathcal{R}_{f}}\left(\omega_{1}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}=0,\left(\eta_{1}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}\right)=1$. As in section 5, we also define a Dirac bracket by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{F, G\}_{D} & =\omega\left(X_{(F, \mathcal{P})}, X_{(G, \mathcal{P})}\right) \\
& =\{F, G\}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha \beta}\left\{\chi^{\beta}, G\right\}\left\{F, \chi^{\alpha}\right\}-\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}}\left\{\chi^{\beta}, F\right\}\left\{\chi^{\beta^{\prime}}, G\right\} \frac{\partial \chi^{\alpha}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \chi^{\alpha^{\prime}}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $F, G \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q\right)$.
Now, if $H$ is an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, the restriction to $M_{f}$ of the $\mathcal{P}$-evolution vector field $E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}=\mathcal{R}+\overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(X_{H}\right)$ is tangent to $M_{f}$ and is a solution of the equations of motion

$$
\left(i_{\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)} \omega_{1}=\mathrm{d} h_{1}-\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) \eta_{1}, \quad i_{\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)} \eta_{1}=1\right)_{\mid M_{f}}
$$

In order to fix the gauge, we consider an almost product structure $\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f},\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)_{f}\right)$ on $M_{f}$ which is adapted to the distribution $\bar{D}_{f}=\operatorname{ker} \omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta_{1} \cap T M_{f}$, i.e. $\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}=\bar{D}_{f}$ and such that $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{f}$. Then, we fix the gauge by taking $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\right)=$ $\mathcal{R}_{f}+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\right)$.

Next, suppose that we apply the constraint algorithm to the precosymplectic system $\left(\mathbb{R} \times T Q, \mathrm{~d} t, \omega_{L}, E_{L}\right)$ in order to solve the equations of motion (4.1). We obtain a final constraint submanifold $P_{f}$ in such a way that the restriction to $P_{f}$ of the submersion $\operatorname{Leg}_{1}: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow M_{1}$ is a surjective submersion $L e g_{f}: P_{f} \longrightarrow M_{f}$ of $P_{f}$ onto $M_{f}$. Moreover, if $\xi_{f}$ is a solution of the equations of motion on $P_{f}$ which is $L e g_{f}$-projectable onto a vector field $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{f}$ in $M_{f}$, then $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{f}$ is a solution of the equations of motion on $M_{f}$ and, conversely (see [5, 11]).

Now, let $\mathcal{R}_{L}$ be a vector field on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ which is $L e g_{1}$-projectable onto $\mathcal{R}_{1}$. Since the distribution ker $T L e g_{1}$ restricts to $P_{f}$ (see [5,11]) we deduce that the restriction $\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}$ to $P_{f}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{L}$ is tangent to $P_{f}, i_{\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}}\left(\omega_{L}\right)_{\mid P_{f}}=0$ and $i_{\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}}(\mathrm{~d} t)_{\mid P_{f}}=1$.

Consider an almost product structure $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{f}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{f}\right)$ on $P_{f}$ adapted to the distribution $\tilde{D}_{f}=$ $\operatorname{ker} \omega_{L} \cap \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{~d} t \cap T P_{f}$ and such that it is $L e g_{f}$-projectable onto an almost product structure $\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f},\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)_{f}\right)$ on $M_{f}$, and $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{f}\right)\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}$ (note that such an almost product structure always exists). Then, the almost product structure $\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f},\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)_{f}\right)$ is adapted to the distribution $\bar{D}_{f}$, and $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{f}$. Furthermore, if $H$ is an extension to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ of $h_{1}$ and $\tilde{X}_{f}$ is a vector field on $P_{f}$ which is Leg $_{f}$-projectable onto $\left(X_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}=\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}$, we can select a unique solution $\xi=\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{f}\left(\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}+\tilde{X}_{f}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}\right)_{f}+\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{f}\left(\tilde{X}_{f}\right) \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{f}$ of the equations of motion

$$
\left(i_{\xi} \omega_{L}=\mathrm{d} E_{L}-\mathcal{R}_{L}\left(E_{L}\right) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i_{\xi} \mathrm{d} t=1\right)_{\mid P_{f}}
$$

and $\xi$ is $L e g_{f}$-projectable onto the solution $\xi^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}_{f}+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\right)=$ $\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f}\left(\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{f}}\right)$.

Remark 6.1. In general, the solution $\xi$ does not satisfy the NSODE condition on $P_{f}$, i.e. $(J \xi=C)_{\mid P_{f}}$. However, there exists a smooth section $\alpha: M_{f} \longrightarrow P_{f}$ of the submersion $L e g_{f}: P_{f} \longrightarrow M_{f}$ and a unique vector field $\xi_{S}$ on the submanifold $S=\alpha\left(M_{f}\right)$ such that (see [5, 11]):
(i) $\xi_{S}$ is a solution of the equations of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{\xi_{S}} \omega_{L}=\mathrm{d} E_{L}-\mathcal{R}_{L}\left(E_{L}\right) \mathrm{d} t, \quad i_{\xi_{S}} \mathrm{~d} t=1\right)_{\mid S} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\xi_{S}$ verifies the NSODE condition:

$$
\left(J\left(\xi_{S}\right)=C\right)_{\mid S}
$$

If we transport via $\alpha$ the almost product structure $\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)_{f},\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)_{f}\right)$ to $S$, we obtain an almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{A}_{S}, \mathcal{B}_{S}\right)$ on $S$, which is adapted to $\operatorname{ker} \omega_{L} \cap \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{~d} t \cap T S$ and such that the projection by $\mathcal{A}_{S}$ of any solution $X$ of the equations of motion (6.1) is also a solution of these equations; moreover, $\mathcal{A}_{S}(X)=\xi_{S}$, and, then, it verifies the NSODE condition.

Remark 6.2. Since the map $\left(T L e g_{f}\right)_{\mid \tilde{D}_{f}}: \tilde{D}_{f} \longrightarrow \bar{D}_{f}$ is surjective and ker $T L e g_{f}=$ $\left(\operatorname{ker} T L e g_{1}\right)_{\mid P_{f}} \subseteq \tilde{D}_{f}$, we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \tilde{D}_{f}=\operatorname{dim} \bar{D}_{f}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T L e g_{1}=\operatorname{dim} \bar{D}_{f}+(m-k)
$$

where $k$ is the rank of the Hessian matrix.
Table 2 summarizes the results of this section.

Table 2. Primary and secondary constraints.


Example 6.3. Consider the Lagrangian function $L: \mathbb{R} \times T \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
L\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{q}^{1}+\dot{q}^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\right)-t q^{1} .
$$

Since $\mathrm{d} t \wedge \omega_{L} \neq 0$ and $\omega_{L}^{2}=0$, it follows that $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{L}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure. We have that

$$
M_{1}=\left\{\left(t, q^{A}, p_{A}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{3} / p_{1}-p_{2}=0, p_{3}=0\right\}
$$

and the primary constraints are thus $\phi^{1}=p_{1}-p_{2}$ and $\phi^{2}=p_{3}$. Both are first class.
If we take cordinates $\left(t, q^{1}, q^{2}, q^{3}, p_{1}\right)$ on $M_{1}$, we get

$$
\omega_{1}=i^{*} \omega_{Q}=\mathrm{d} q^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} p_{1}+\mathrm{d} q^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} p_{1} \quad \eta_{1}=\mathrm{d} t
$$

and, then

$$
\operatorname{ker} \omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta_{1}=\left\langle\left(X_{\phi^{1}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}},\left(X_{\phi^{2}}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}\right\rangle
$$

Since the Hamiltonian function $h_{1}: M_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$
h_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\right)-t q^{1}
$$

a new (secondary) constraint $\phi^{3}=t-q^{1}+q^{2}$ arises and, therefore, the secondary constraint submanifold is given by
$M_{2}=\left\{\left(t, q^{A}, p_{A}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{3} / p_{1}-p_{2}=0, p_{3}=0, t-q^{1}+q^{2}=0\right\}$.
$M_{2}$ is, moreover, the final constraint submanifold, i.e. there are no tertiary constraints.
We see that $\phi^{1}$ and $\phi^{3}$ are second class on $M_{2}$ but $\phi^{2}$ is first class on $M_{2}$.
We construct an almost product structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}\right. & \left.\otimes \mathrm{d} p_{1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{2}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} t\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} q^{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} q^{2}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} t+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} q^{1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} q^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{1}-\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} \otimes \mathrm{~d} p_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathcal{P}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{Q}$. The Dirac bracket on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is given by

$$
\left\{q^{1}, p_{1}\right\}_{D}=\left\{q^{1}, p_{2}\right\}_{D}=\left\{q^{2}, p_{1}\right\}_{D}=\left\{q^{2}, p_{2}\right\}_{D}=\frac{1}{2} \quad\left\{q^{3}, p_{3}\right\}_{D}=1
$$

the other brackets being zero. We also have that
$\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right) \quad \eta=\mathcal{P}^{*}(\mathrm{~d} t)=\mathrm{d} t-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} p_{1}-\mathrm{d} p_{2}\right)$.
Observe that, as we have proved, $\mathcal{R}_{2}=\mathcal{R}_{\mid M_{2}} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{2}\right)$.
Now, let

$$
H\left(t, q^{A}, p_{A}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\right)-t q^{1}+\lambda \phi^{1}+\mu \phi^{2}
$$

be an arbitrary extension of $h_{1}$. We get

$$
\left(X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{2}}=p_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\left(t-q^{1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}-q^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}+\lambda\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right)+\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}
$$

and
$\overline{\mathcal{P}}\left(\left(X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{2}}\right)=\frac{p_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right)+\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}-q^{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}\right)$
$\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{2}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{p_{1}+1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}+\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}-q^{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}\right)$.
We fix the gauge by taking an almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ on $M_{2}$ adapted to the distribution

$$
\operatorname{ker} \omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta_{1} \cap T M_{2}=\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}{ }_{\mid M_{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

For instance, we can take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathcal{B}_{2}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{A}_{2}$. Moreover, note that $\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ is an integrable almost product structure on $M_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{A}_{2}=\operatorname{ker} \omega_{1} \cap \operatorname{ker} \eta_{1} \cap T M_{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{2}$ we fix the gauge by taking the vector field

$$
\xi_{2}=\mathcal{R}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2}\left(\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}} X_{H}\right)_{\mid M_{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\left(p_{1}+1\right)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\left(p_{1}-1\right)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}-q^{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}}\right) .
$$

Now, we apply the constraint algorithm to the precosymplectic system $(\mathbb{R} \times$ $\left.T \mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathrm{~d} t, \omega_{L}, E_{L}\right)$. The final constraint submanifold is $P_{2}=P_{f}$, where

$$
P_{2}=\left\{\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times T \mathbb{R}^{3} / t-q^{1}+q^{2}=0\right\}
$$

The vector field

$$
\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\left(\dot{q}^{1}+\dot{q}^{2}+1\right)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\left(\dot{q}^{1}+\dot{q}^{2}-1\right)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}-q^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{1}}
$$

is a solution of the equations of motion on $P_{2}$ and is projectable by $L e g_{\mid P_{2}}$ onto the vector field $\xi_{2}$. Following remark 6.1, we can construct a submanifold $S$ of $P_{2}$ such that a unique solution $\xi_{S}$ of the equations of motion satisfying the NSODE condition on $S$ exists (see $[5,11])$. In this case, we obtain

$$
S=\left\{\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times T \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid t-q^{1}+q^{2}=0,1-\dot{q}^{1}+\dot{q}^{2}=0, \dot{q}^{3}=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\xi_{S}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\dot{q}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\left(\dot{q}^{1}-1\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} q^{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{2}}\right)\right)_{\mid S} .
$$

Via the diffeomorphism $\alpha=\left(\operatorname{Leg}_{\mid S}\right)^{-1}: M_{2} \longrightarrow S$ we can transport the almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ and to obtain the almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{A}_{S}, \mathcal{B}_{S}\right)$ on $S$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{S}\left(\mathcal{R}_{S}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{S} \quad \mathcal{A}_{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{1}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}^{2}} \quad \mathcal{A}_{S}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{S}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}\right) .
$$

## 7. Autonomous Lagrangian systems

Suppose that $L^{\prime}: T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an almost regular time-independent Lagrangian function. Let $\omega_{L^{\prime}}=-\mathrm{d}\left(J^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d} L^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be the Poincaré-Cartan 2-form which is supposed to be presymplectic. Let $L e g^{\prime}: T Q \longrightarrow T^{*} Q$ be the Legendre transformation, $M_{1}^{\prime}$ the primary constraint submanifold on the Hamiltonian side, $h_{1}^{\prime}$ the Hamiltonian function on $M_{1}^{\prime}$, and $\omega_{1}^{\prime}=\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(\omega_{Q}\right)$, where $i^{\prime}: M_{1}^{\prime} \longrightarrow T^{*} Q$ is the canonical embedding.

We can consider $L^{\prime}$ as a Lagrangian function $L: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which does not depend on the time, that is, $L\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=L^{\prime}\left(q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)$. Therefore, $L$ is almost regular and $\left(\mathrm{d} t, \omega_{L}\right)$ is a precosymplectic structure on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$.

We can easily deduce that, if $M_{1}$ is the primary constraint submanifold, then $M_{1}=$ $\mathbb{R} \times M_{1}^{\prime}$. Also, we obtain that the $i$-ary constraint submanifolds $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$, respectively, are related by $M_{i}=\mathbb{R} \times M_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus, $M_{f}=\mathbb{R} \times M_{f}^{\prime}$.

The almost product structure $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ on $T^{*} Q$ constructed in [14] is related with our almost product structure $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ by

$$
\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}^{\prime} \quad \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}+\mathrm{d} t \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

Moreover, the corresponding Diract brackets are related by the following formula $\{F, G\}_{D^{\prime}}=\{F, G\}_{\mathrm{D}}$, for all $F, G \in C^{\infty}\left(T^{*} Q\right)$.

## 8. Affine Lagrangian functions

Let $L: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a time-dependent Lagrangian which is affine on the velocities, i.e.

$$
L\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=\mu_{A}\left(t, q^{A}\right) \dot{q}^{A}+f\left(t, q^{A}\right)
$$

$L$ may be globally defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\hat{\alpha}+\left(\tilde{\tau}_{Q}\right)^{*} f \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\sum_{A} \mu_{A}\left(t, q^{B}\right) \mathrm{d} q^{A}$ is a 1 -form on $\mathbb{R} \times Q$ which verifies $\alpha(\partial / \partial t)=0, f$ is a function on $\mathbb{R} \times Q$, and $\hat{\alpha}: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the evaluation map defined by $\hat{\alpha}\left(t, X_{q}\right)=\alpha_{(t, q)}\left(X_{q}\right)$, for all $\left(t, X_{q}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times T_{q} Q$ (see [5, 11, 12, 13]). From equation (8.1), we obtain

$$
E_{L}=-\left(\tilde{\tau}_{Q}\right)^{*} f \quad \omega_{L}=-\left(\tilde{\tau}_{Q}\right)^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \alpha)
$$

Suppose that the pair $(\mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} \alpha)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $\mathbb{R} \times Q$ with Reeb vector field $\mathcal{R}$. Let $E_{f}$ be the evolution vector field with respect to the cosymplectic structure $(\mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} \alpha)$. If $\mathcal{R}_{L}$ and $\xi$ are vector fields on $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ which are $\tilde{\tau}_{Q}$-projectable onto $\mathcal{R}$ and $E_{f}$, respectively, we deduce that

$$
i_{\mathcal{R}_{L}} \omega_{L}=0 \quad i_{\mathcal{R}_{L}} \mathrm{~d} t=1 \quad i_{\xi} \omega_{L}=\mathrm{d} E_{L}-\mathcal{R}_{L}\left(E_{L}\right) \mathrm{d} t \quad i_{\xi} \mathrm{d} t=1
$$

Thus, $\left(\mathbb{R} \times T Q, \mathrm{~d} t, \omega_{L}, E_{L}, \mathcal{R}_{L}\right)$ is a precosymplectic system which admits a global dynamics. We also have that $\operatorname{ker} \omega_{L} \cap \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{~d} t=V(\mathbb{R} \times T Q)$.

The Legendre transformation Leg $: \mathbb{R} \times T Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is given by $\operatorname{Leg}\left(t, q^{A}, \dot{q}^{A}\right)=$ $\left(t, q^{A}, \mu_{A}\right)$.

The 1 -form $\alpha$ may be viewed as a time-dependent 1 -form on $Q, \alpha: \mathbb{R} \times Q \longrightarrow T^{*} Q$ and, then, the mapping $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \times Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, defined by $\psi\left(t, q^{A}\right)=\left(t, \alpha\left(t, q^{A}\right)\right)$ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{R} \times Q$ onto the primary constraint submanifold $M_{1}$. Thus, $L$ is almost regular. In fact, the pair $\left(\eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$ is a cosymplectic structure on $M_{1}$ and the map $\Psi: \mathbb{R} \times Q \longrightarrow M_{1}$ is a cosymplectomorphism between the cosymplectic manifolds $(\mathbb{R} \times Q, \mathrm{~d} t,-\mathrm{d} \alpha)$ and $\left(M_{1}, \eta_{1}, \omega_{1}\right)$, i.e. $\Psi^{*} \eta_{1}=\mathrm{d} t$ and $\Psi^{*} \omega_{1}=-\mathrm{d} \alpha$ (see [5, 11]).

All the primary constraints $\Phi^{A}=p_{A}-\mu_{A}, 1 \leqslant A \leqslant m$, are second class, since

$$
\left\{\Phi^{A}, \Phi^{B}\right\}=\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{A}}-\frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial q^{B}}
$$

and the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{A B}=\left(\left\{\Phi^{A}, \Phi^{B}\right\}\right)$ is regular because $(\mathrm{d} t, \mathrm{~d} \alpha)$ is cosymplectic. Then, the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ whose entries are

$$
\mathcal{C}^{A B}=\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{A}}-\frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial q^{B}}+\frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial t}
$$

is also regular. The projector $\mathcal{Q}$ is given explicitly by
$\mathcal{Q}=\sum_{A, B} \mathcal{C}_{A B}\left(-\frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{A}}+\sum_{C} \frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial q^{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{C}}\right) \otimes\left(\mathrm{d} p_{B}-\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{D}} \mathrm{~d} q^{D}-\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} t\right)$
and $\mathcal{P}=\mathrm{id}-\mathcal{Q}$. We also have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_{A, B} \mathcal{C}_{A B} \frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{A}}+\sum_{A, B, C} \mathcal{C}_{A B} \frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial q^{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{C}} \\
& \eta=\mathrm{d} t-\sum_{A, B, D} \mathcal{C}_{A B} \frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{D}} d q^{D}+\sum_{A, B} \mathcal{C}_{A B} \frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial t} \mathrm{~d} p_{B} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Dirac bracket $\{,\}_{\mathrm{D}}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{F, G\}_{D}=\sum_{A=1}^{m} & \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{A}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{A}}-\frac{\partial G}{\partial q^{A}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{A}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{A, B, C, D=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{A B}\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial q^{B}}+\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{C}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{C}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{A}}+\frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial q^{D}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{D}}\right) \\
& -\sum_{A, B, C, D, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}=1}^{m} \mathcal{C}_{A B} \mathcal{C}_{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q^{B}}+\frac{\partial \mu_{B}}{\partial q^{C}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{C}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial q^{B^{\prime}}}+\frac{\partial \mu_{B^{\prime}}}{\partial q^{D}} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_{D}}\right) \frac{\partial \mu_{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mu_{A^{\prime}}}{\partial t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $H$ is an extension of $h_{1}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times T^{*} Q$, the restriction of the $\mathcal{P}$-evolution vector field $E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}$ is the solution of the dynamics (see theorem 5.2). Moreover, $\left(E_{(H, \mathcal{P})}\right)_{\mid M_{1}}$ is just the vector field $T \Psi\left(E_{f}\right)$ (see [5]). Finally, in this case, the submanifold $S$ of $\mathbb{R} \times T Q$ is $E_{f}(\mathbb{R} \times Q)$, and the vector field $\xi_{S}$ is the restriction to $S$ of the complete lift of $E_{f}$ to $T(\mathbb{R} \times Q)$ (see [5]).
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## Appendix

We will prove that the determinant of the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ whose entries are $\mathcal{C}^{a b}=\left\{\Phi^{a}, \Phi^{b}\right\}+$ $\left(\partial \Phi^{a} / \partial t\right) \partial \Phi^{b} / \partial t$ coincides with the determinant of the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{a b}=\left\{\Phi^{a}, \Phi^{b}\right\}$. The result follows from the following propositon.

Proposition A.1. Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ be a skew-symmetric matrix where $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$, and $n$ is an even number, and let $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be a symmetric matrix defined by $b_{i j}=b_{i} b_{j}, b_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n$. We deduce that

$$
|A+B|=|A| .
$$

Proof. We have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B|= & \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma}\left(a_{1 \sigma(1)}+b_{1} b_{\sigma(1)}\right)\left(a_{2 \sigma(2)}+b_{2} b_{\sigma(2)}\right) \cdots\left(a_{n \sigma(n)}+b_{n} b_{\sigma(n)}\right) \\
= & |A|+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} b_{i} b_{\sigma(i)} a_{1 \sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{a_{i \sigma(i)}} \cdots a_{n \sigma(n)} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}=1, \ldots, n, i_{1}<i_{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} b_{i_{1}} b_{\sigma\left(i_{1}\right)} b_{i_{2}} b_{\sigma\left(i_{2}\right)} a_{1 \sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{a_{i_{1} \sigma\left(i_{1}\right)}} \cdots \widehat{a_{i_{2} \sigma\left(i_{2}\right)}} \cdots a_{n \sigma(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\cdots+\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n-1}=1, \ldots, n, i_{1}<\cdots<i_{n-1}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} b_{i_{1}} b_{\sigma\left(i_{1}\right)} \cdots b_{i_{n-1}} b_{\sigma\left(i_{n-1}\right)} a_{i_{n} \sigma\left(i_{n}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma} b_{1} b_{\sigma(1)} \cdots b_{n} b_{\sigma(n)}
\end{aligned}
$$

All the terms, with exception of the first and second ones, are trivially equal to zero. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A+B| & =|A|+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(-1)^{1+i}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}}(-1)^{\sigma}\left(-b_{\sigma(1)}\right) a_{1 \sigma(2)} \cdots a_{i-1 \sigma(i)} a_{i+1 \sigma(i+1)} \cdots a_{n \sigma(n)}\right) \\
& =|A|+|C|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is the skew-symmetric matrix defined by $c_{11}=0, c_{1 j}=b_{j-1}(j>2), c_{i 1}=-b_{i-1}$ $(i>2)$ and $c_{i j}=a_{i-1, j-1}(i, j>2)$. Since $C$ is a skew-symmetric matrix of odd order, then $|C|=0$. Thus, $|A+B|=|A|$.
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